



**OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL**

TAXATION DIVISION

**COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
CASE LIST AND SUMMARY OF ISSUES**

March 2004

Table of Contents

Table of Cases	ix
Franchise Tax	1
Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	1
CTX Mortgage Co., LLC, as Successor in Interest to CTX Mortgage Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	2
Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	2
Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	3
Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	3
Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
El Paso Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
First Co. v. Rylander, et al.	5
Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	5
Inland Truck Parts Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	6
Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	7
Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	8
Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., fka Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander, et al.	8
Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al.	9
Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Rylander, et al.	9
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	10
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	10
Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	11
U.S. Home Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	11
Sales Tax	13
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.	13
Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	13
Alexopolous, Dimitrios P. v. Rylander, et al.	13
Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	14
American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	14

Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	16
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	16
B&B Gravel Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	16
BGK Operating Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al.	17
Bandas, David v. Rylander, et al.	18
Bedrock General Contractors v. Rylander, et al.	18
Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al.	18
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	19
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	19
Big Tex Air Conditioning, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	20
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	20
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	20
Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	21
C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al.	22
Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al.	22
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	22
Chevron Pipe Line Co. and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	23
Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	23
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	24
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	24
Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	24
Colt, Mach V., Trustee of the Harry T. Lloyd Charitable Trust, Successor in Interest to House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	25
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.	27
Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	27
DuPont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	28
E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	28
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	29
ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	29
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	30
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	30
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	30
Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	31
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekkessi v. Rylander, et al. . .	31

FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	32
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al.	32
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. and San Antonio Theme Park, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.	32
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al.	33
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	33
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	34
Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	34
Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	35
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	35
H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	35
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	36
Hines Interests Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.	36
Hollon Oil Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	37
JB I, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	38
J.C. Penney Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	38
JHS Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	38
Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al.	39
John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al.	39
Kennedy, Gary G. dba Kennedy's Korner v. Rylander, et al.	40
LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al.	40
LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	40
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	41
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	41
Lebaron Hotel Corp., dba The Lebaron Hotel v. Sharp, et al.	42
Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	42
Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P.	42
Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al.	43
Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	43
Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	44
Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	44
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	45
Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	45
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
May Department Stores Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	48
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al.	48
Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	48

National Business Furniture, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	49
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	49
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al.	50
North American Intelcom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al.	50
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	51
Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al.	51
Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	52
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	52
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	52
R Communications, Inc. fka RN Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	53
RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	53
R.G.V. Vending, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	54
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	54
Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	55
Raytheon Co. and Daimlerchrysler Corp. as Successors to Central Texas Airborne Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	55
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. . .	56
Raytheon Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	56
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. . . .	57
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. . . .	57
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	58
Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	59
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	59
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.	59
Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	60
Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	60
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	61
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	61
Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	62
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.	62
Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	62
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al.	63
Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	63
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	64
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.	65
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	65

TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylander, et al.	66
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	66
Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	67
Texaco, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	67
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	67
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	68
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	68
USA Waste Services of Houston, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	69
Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	69
United Services Automobile Association & USAA Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	70
Val-Pak Franchise Operations, Inc. dba Valpak of Houston v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al.	71
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	71
Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller	71
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	72
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	72
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
Insurance Tax	75
Allstate County Mutual Insurance Co.; Allstate Insurance Co.; Allstate Indemnity Co.; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al.	75
American Fidelity Assurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	76
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	76
Dorinco Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	77
Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.	77
First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	78
Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	78
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	79
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	79
Old Republic Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	79
STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	80
Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	80
St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al.	81
Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas	81
Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al.	82
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	82
Other Taxes	83

Alvarado ISD v. Comptroller	83
Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al.	83
Avery ISD v. Comptroller	83
Bailiff, Michael W. and Sylvia S. Bailiff v. Bexar County Appraisal District, et al.	84
Barbers Hill ISD v. Comptroller	84
Bay City ISD v. Comptroller	85
Broadus ISD v. Comptroller	85
Caddo Mills ISD v. Comptroller	85
CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	86
Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller	86
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	87
Columbia-Brazoria ISD v. Comptroller	87
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp	87
Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	88
Huntsville ISD v. Comptroller	88
Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.	89
Lubbock-Cooper ISD v. Comptroller	89
MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	90
Marfa ISD v. Comptroller	90
McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. .	91
Mineral Wells ISD v. Comptroller	91
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.	92
Petro Express Management, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	92
Presidio ISD v. Comptroller	92
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al.	93
Rahmes, Todd W., Individually and on Behalf of All Similarly Situated Consumers v. Louis Shanks of Texas, Inc., Strayhorn, et al.	93
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	94
Robinson, Barbara Cooke, Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.	94
Shelton, James M., Estate of, Deceased, and Carroll A. Maxon, Independent Co-Executor v. Rylander, et al.	94
Stephenville ISD v. Comptroller	95
Stephenville ISD v. Comptroller	95
Tarkington ISD v. Comptroller	96
Terlingua Common ISD v. Comptroller	96
Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc.	96
Willow Creek Resources, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	97
Closed Cases	99
6S-B, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	99
Comstock ISD v. Comptroller	99
Forney ISD v. Comptroller	100

Lynch, Michael J. II, Assignee of Estrella Sola, Inc. v. Strayhorn	100
Point Isabel ISD v. Comptroller	100
Westcott Communications, Inc., Law Enforcement Television Network, Inc., Westcott ECI, Inc. and TI-IN Acquisition Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	101
Index	103

Table of Cases

6S-B, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	99
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.	13
Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	13
Alexopolous, Dimitrios P. v. Rylander, et al.	13
Allstate County Mutual Insurance Co.; Allstate Insurance Co.; Allstate Indemnity Co.; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	75
Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	14
Alvarado ISD v. Comptroller	83
American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al.	75
American Fidelity Assurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	76
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	76
American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	14
Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	1
Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	16
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	16
Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al.	83
Avery ISD v. Comptroller	83
B&B Gravel Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	16
Bailiff, Michael W. and Sylvia S. Bailiff v. Bexar County Appraisal District, et al.	84
Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al.	17
Bandas, David v. Rylander, et al.	18
Barbers Hill ISD v. Comptroller	84
Bay City ISD v. Comptroller	85
Bedrock General Contractors v. Rylander, et al.	18
Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al.	18
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	19
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	19
BGK Operating Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	17
Big Tex Air Conditioning, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	20
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	20
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	20
Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al.	21
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	21

Broaddus ISD v. Comptroller	85
C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al.	22
Caddo Mills ISD v. Comptroller	85
CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	86
Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller	86
Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	2
Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	3
Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. . .	2
Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al.	22
Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	3
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	22
Chevron Pipe Line Co. and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	23
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	87
Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	23
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	24
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	24
Closed Cases	99
Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	24
Colt, Mach V., Trustee of the Harry T. Lloyd Charitable Trust, Successor in Interest to House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	25
Columbia-Brazoria ISD v. Comptroller	87
Comstock ISD v. Comptroller	99
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
CTX Mortgage Co., LLC, as Successor in Interest to CTX Mortgage Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	1
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.	27
Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al.	27
Dorinco Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	77
DuPont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	28
E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	28
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	29
El Paso Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp	87
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	4
ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	29
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	30
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	30
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	30

Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	31
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.	31
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al.	32
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. and San Antonio Theme Park, L.P. v. Rylander, et al.	32
Fireman’s Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al.	77
First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	78
First Co. v. Rylander, et al.	5
Forney ISD v. Comptroller	100
Fort Worth’s PR’s, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	88
FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	32
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al.	33
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	33
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	34
Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	34
Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	35
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	35
H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	35
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	36
Hines Interests Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.	36
Hollon Oil Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	5
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	37
Huntsville ISD v. Comptroller	88
Inland Truck Parts Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	6
Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	7
Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	6
J.C. Penney Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	38
JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	38
Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al.	39
JHS Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	38
John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al.	39
Kennedy, Gary G. dba Kennedy’s Korner v. Rylander, et al.	40
LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	40
Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.	89
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	41
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	41
Lebaron Hotel Corp., dba The Lebaron Hotel v. Sharp, et al.	42
Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	42
Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P.	42
Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	78
Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al.	43
Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	43
Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	44
Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. v.	

Rylander, et al.	45
Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	44
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	45
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	46
LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al.	40
Lubbock-Cooper ISD v. Comptroller	89
Lynch, Michael J. II, Assignee of Estrella Sola, Inc. v. Strayhorn	100
Marfa ISD v. Comptroller	90
May Department Stores Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	91
Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	48
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	79
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al.	79
MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	90
MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al.	47
Mineral Wells ISD v. Comptroller	91
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al.	92
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al.	48
Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	48
National Business Furniture, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	49
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	49
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al.	50
North American Intelcom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al.	50
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	51
Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al.	51
Old Republic Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	79
Petro Express Management, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	92
Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	52
Point Isabel ISD v. Comptroller	100
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	52
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	52
Presidio ISD v. Comptroller	92
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al.	93
R Communications, Inc. fka RN Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	53
R.G.V. Vending, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	54
Rahmes, Todd W., Individually and on Behalf of All Similarly Situated Consumers v. Louis Shanks of Texas, Inc., Strayhorn, et al.	93
RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	53
Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	7
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance , L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	94
Raytheon Co. and Daimlerchrysler Corp. as Successors to Central Texas Airborne	

Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	55
Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	55
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	57
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	57
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	56
Raytheon Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	56
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	54
Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	8
Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., fka Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander, et al.	8
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	58
Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	59
Robinson, Barbara Cooke, Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al.	94
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	59
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.	59
Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	60
Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	80
Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	60
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	61
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	61
Shelton, James M., Estate of, Deceased, and Carroll A. Maxon, Independent Co-Executor v. Rylander, et al.	94
Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	62
Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al.	9
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al.	62
Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Rylander, et al.	9
Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	62
St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al.	81
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al.	63
Stephenville ISD v. Comptroller	95
Stephenville ISD v. Comptroller	95
STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	80
Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	63
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	64
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	64
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.	65
Tarkington ISD v. Comptroller	96
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylander, et al.	66
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	65
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	66
Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	67

Terlingua Common ISD v. Comptroller	96
Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc.	96
Texaco, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	67
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	10
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	67
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	10
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	68
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	68
Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	11
U.S. Home Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	11
Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	69
United Services Automobile Association & USAA Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	70
Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas	81
Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al.	82
USA Waste Services of Houston, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	69
Val-Pak Franchise Operations, Inc. dba Valpak of Houston v. Strayhorn, et al.	70
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	82
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al.	71
Westcott Communications, Inc., Law Enforcement Television Network, Inc., Westcott ECI, Inc. and TI-IN Acquisition Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	101
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	71
Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller	71
Willow Creek Resources, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	97
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	72
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	72

Franchise Tax

Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-12183

#03-03-00458-CV

AG Case #99-1227646

Franchise Tax; Refund
Filed: 10/18/99
Period: 1993-1996
Amount: \$407,212.91
\$107,861.97

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christopher Jackson

Jan Soifer
Susan Kidwell
Locke, Liddell & Sapp
Austin

Issue: Whether income earned on Plaintiff's trust accounts for prepaid funeral services gives rise to Texas gross receipts.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment held 04/10/03; granted in favor of the State 06/24/03. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 07/31/03. Appellants' brief filed 09/18/03. Appellees' brief filed 10/24/03. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/12/03. Oral Argument completed 01/07/04. Appellees' post-submission brief filed 01/22/04. Appellants' reply brief filed 02/06/04.

CTX Mortgage Co., LLC, as Successor in Interest to CTX Mortgage Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300145

AG Case #031738131

Franchise Tax; Protest,
Refund & Declaratory
Judgment
Filed: 01/15/03
Period: 1992-1994
Amount: \$6,482.90

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jana Kinkade

David Cowling
Robert Lochridge
Jones Day
Dallas

Issue: Whether application of the requirement of documentation that officers do not participate in significant policy-making aspects of the corporation is retroactive and unconstitutional. Whether different treatment of banks and mortgage companies violates equal protection. Whether Plaintiff's vice presidents and others should not be included in the officer add-back provision of the franchise tax. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301277
AG Case #031787146

Franchise Tax; Protest, Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 04/22/03 Period: 1997-2000 Amount: \$96,248.92	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo David Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones Day Dallas
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301292
AG Case #031787153

Franchise Tax; Protest, Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 04/23/03 Period: 1992-1995 Amount: \$191,167.76	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo David Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones Day Dallas
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301293
AG Case #031787161

Franchise Tax; Protest, Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 04/23/03 Period: 1996 Amount: \$48,729.67	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo David Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones Day Dallas
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100332
AG Case #011409646

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund Filed: 02/01/01 Period: 1988-1994 Amount: \$300,772.95 \$204,616.25	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether inclusion of access charges in Texas' gross receipts violates Comptroller rules on franchise tax treatment of interstate telephone receipts. Whether inclusion of the charges violates equal protection.

Status: Answer filed.

Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300878
AG Case #031770621

Franchise Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 03/19/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Cynthia M. Ohlenforst
Period: 1992-1995		Tracy D. Eaton
Amount: \$1,646,637		Dallas

Issue: Whether the franchise tax requirement to add back officer and director compensation to the tax base is an unconstitutional tax on the income of natural persons. Whether the shareholder limit for the add-back is arbitrary, unreasonable and discriminatory. Whether the provision also discriminates unconstitutionally between banks and other corporations and should be limited to officers with significant authority.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304213
AG Case #031879356

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 10/28/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Period: 1999 - 2001		Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$2,278,308.75		Scott, Douglass & McConnico
		Austin

Issue: Whether severance pay and merger expenses were improperly included in Plaintiff's apportionment factor. Whether other income was improperly sourced or included. Whether certain deductions were erroneously disallowed. Plaintiff also seeks waiver of all penalty and interest.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301003
AG Case #031778939

Franchise Tax; Refund
Filed: 03/28/03
Period: 1989-1991
Amount: \$3,000,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may use the successful efforts method of accounting. Whether revenue should be recognized when it is billed rather than when it is booked. Whether unamortized loss on reacquired debt may be expensed. Whether certain accounts should be removed from surplus because they had zero balances. Whether Plaintiff's apportionment factor should be reduced for receipts from gas not picked up or delivered in Texas.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment hearing set 08/24/04.

First Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200229
AG Case #021556980

Franchise Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 01/24/02
Period: 1996 through
1999
Amount: \$1,919,109

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christine Monzingo

James F. Martens
Christina A. Mondrik
Stahl, Martens & Bernal
Austin

Issue: Whether the throwback rule is unconstitutional and violates P.L. 86-272. Whether apportionment under the throwback rule, when compared to a separate accounting method, creates such a gross disparity in taxable income as to be unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303185
AG Case #031842420

Franchise Tax; Refund
Filed: 08/25/03
Period: 1992-1999
Amount: \$16,085,391.00

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christine Monzingo

Daniel L. Butcher
Strasburger & Price
Dallas

Farley P. Katz
Strasburger & Price
San Antonio

Issue: Whether the Texas throwback provision, Tax Code §171.1032, is unconstitutional in violation of the Due Process, Commerce, Supremacy, and Equal Protection Clauses.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Inland Truck Parts Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302603
AG Case #031831746

Franchise Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 07/24/03
Period: 1999
Amount: \$47,775.25

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christine Monzingo

Cynthia M. Ohlenforst
G. James Landon
J. Blake Rice
Hughes & Luce
Dallas

Issue: Whether an S corporation owned by an ESOP owes franchise tax when the shareholder has no income reportable to the IRS as taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201829
AG Case #021626213

Franchise Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 06/03/02
Period: 1997 & 1998
Amount: \$275
\$347

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christine Monzingo

Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Christina A. Mondrik
Stahl, Martens & Bernal
Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer has nexus with Texas. Whether the capital- based franchise tax is measured by net income for purposes of P.L. 86-272. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully forfeited plaintiff's corporate privileges. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Non-suited 03/12/03. Closure pending use of discovery in *Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.*, Cause No. GN302862.

Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302862
AG Case #031836471

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 08/11/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Period: 1999 through 2003		Christina A. Mondrik
Amount: \$4,658		Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer has nexus with Texas. Whether the capital- based franchise tax is measured by net income for purposes of P.L. 86-272. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully forfeited plaintiff's corporate privileges. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial set 05/03/04.

Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003174
AG Case #001375450

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 10/31/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jasper G. Taylor, III
Period: 1994-1997		Jay M. Chadha
Amount: \$4,006,942.39		Fulbright & Jaworski Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's Rule 3.555(g)(3), which denies a carry forward of business losses of a merged corporation by the surviving corporation, is an unconstitutional retroactive law or a violation of Texas and Delaware statutes on mergers. Whether compensation of officers and directors should have been added back to Plaintiff's income and whether doing so violates constitutional equal taxation requirements. Whether some receipts were incorrectly treated as Texas receipts. Whether surplus calculation by the Comptroller should have excluded increases from push-down accounting. Whether failure to waive penalties and interest was arbitrary. Whether the audit has calculation errors. Whether the Comptroller's determination and decision violate equal protection, due process, and other constitutional provisions.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #GN103935

AG Case #011532348

Franchise Tax; Refund
Filed: 11/28/01
Period: 1998
Amount: \$2,581,013.52

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

L.G. Skip Smith
David H. Gilliland
Clark, Thomas & Winters
Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff may use business loss carry- forward from non-surviving corporation in merger to reduce its franchise tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., fka Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-08127

AG Case #99-1187675

Franchise Tax; Refund
Filed: 07/15/99
Period: 1996
Amount: \$163,758.10

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

L.G. Skip Smith
David H. Gilliland
Clark, Thomas & Winters
Austin

Issue: Whether a business loss carry-forward of a merged corporation may be used to reduce the surviving corporation's franchise tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003692

AG Case #011399409

Franchise Tax; Refund

Filed: 12/29/00

Period: 1994

Amount: \$549,983

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christine Monzingo

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Eric Hagenswold
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was required to use historical cost as the basis of assets of an acquired corporation. Whether post-retirement benefit obligations are debt. Whether disallowing deduction of post-retirement benefits violates equal protection. Whether Plaintiff may use another method to account for depreciation.

Status: Partial settlement.

Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204559

AG Case #031730666

Franchise Tax; Protest &
Refund

Filed: 12/20/02

Period: 1996-1999

Amount: \$34,880,360.66

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christine Monzingo

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether interstate access revenues are Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Whether treating the revenues as Texas receipts violates the Comptroller's Rule on interstate calls and the due process, equal protection and commerce clauses of the Constitution. Whether other interstate call revenues in border areas are not Texas receipts.

Status: Answer filed.

Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-14555
AG Case #99-1249228

Franchise Tax; Refund Filed: 12/15/99 Period: 1994 Amount: \$1,028,616.15	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit for sales tax on manufacturing equipment purchased by a joint venture that it co-owned.

Status: Answer filed. On hold pending outcome of *Saudi Refining, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.* *Saudi* decided in Comptroller's favor. Awaiting non-suit to be filed.

Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102799
AG Case #011496635

Franchise Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/27/01 Period: 1987-1990 Amount: \$6,683,563.48	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo David Cowling Todd Wallace Gregory E. Perry Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether delivering goods to plaintiff's customers in plaintiff's "bond rooms" for eventual shipment out-of-state were sales that generated Texas receipts. Whether Plaintiff's long-term contracts were properly characterized as service contracts. Whether treatment of Plaintiff's cost-plus contracts as service contracts violated equal protection or equal and uniform taxation. Whether all interest should have been waived. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Settled. Case to be closed.

Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302279
AG Case #031818966

Franchise Tax; Refund
Filed: 06/27/03
Period: 1992-1997
Amount: \$4,462,424.56

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jana Kinkade

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff must use accelerated or straight line depreciation. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived because Plaintiff's affiliates had overpayments during the audit period that could have been credited to Plaintiff's deficiencies.

Status: Answer filed.

U.S. Home Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003082
AG Case #001372424

Franchise Tax; Refund
Filed: 10/20/00
Period: 1992 and 1993
Amount: \$46,607.88

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Christopher Jackson

Plaintiff's Counsel:

D. Steven Henry
Gregory A. Harwell
Robert M. Reed, Jr.
Gardere & Wynne
Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to write down or write off the value of its investment in bankrupt subsidiaries.

Status: Set for DWOP 12/12/03. Awaiting dismissal order.

Sales Tax

AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN300091
AG Case #031735236

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 01/10/03 Period: 06/01/97- 11/30/00 Amount: \$45,658.15	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Natalie Foerster Christopher Malish Foster & Malish Austin
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff should have been assessed interest and penalty.

Status: Answer filed.

Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103463
AG Case #011514544

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/19/01 Period: 11/01/92- 12/31/97 Amount: \$929,964.11	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne W. Stephen Benesh Deanna E. King Bracewell & Patterson Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether plaintiff's leases were financing leases and not taxable operating leases under Comptroller Rule 3.294(i). Whether the Comptroller's sample was flawed. Alternatively, whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Alexopolous, Dimitrios P. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-08096
AG Case #99-1187865

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/14/99 Period: 07/01/88- 03/31/95 Amount: \$134,455.65	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Stephen W. Sather Naman, Howell, Smith & Lee Austin
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Issue is whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's gross taxable sales by using too low a factor for Plaintiff's personal consumption, improperly comparing Plaintiff's operations to other fast-food outlets, failing to consider that higher subsequent sales were due to population increases, determining that Plaintiff kept inadequate records when Plaintiff had lost them in a fire, and failing to consider the results of an IRS audit. Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Bankruptcy stay in effect. Discovery in progress. Trial set 10/15/01. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy petition 09/24/01. Bankruptcy/Collection Division has requested bankruptcy court to abstain. Case to be tried in Bankruptcy Court 11/08/02. Judgment in favor of Comptroller entered by Bankruptcy Court.

Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-12998
#03-03-0643-CV
AG Case #98-1080526

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 11/20/98 Period: 1994-1998 Amount: \$31,128.62	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Stephen D. Good Gregory A. Harwell Gardere & Wynne Dallas
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Alpine may be regarded as a seller for direct sales made in Texas by independent dealers and whether holding Alpine liable for sales tax violates the commerce clause, due process or equal protection.

Status: Trial set 07/28/03. Summary Judgment, including counter-claim, granted for Comptroller 07/18/03. Final judgment entered 08/15/03. Motion for new trial filed 08/18/03. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 10/20/03. Appellant's brief filed 02/02/04. Appellees' brief due 04/02/04.

American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06374
AG Case #99-1175084

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 06/03/99 Period: 1992-1993 Amount: \$467,142.31	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether materials are provided by Plaintiff to its customers in the course of its motor vehicle repairs under lump sum contracts, requiring Plaintiff to pay tax on the cost of materials. If Plaintiff's contracts are lump sum, whether Plaintiff is entitled to credit for tax collected from its customers and remitted to the Comptroller. Whether software services are taxable when the seller of the services contributes rather than sells the software itself. Whether software services are exempt under §151.346 as sales between affiliated entities of previously exempt services. Whether interest should have been waived. Whether any of the above issues result in a denial of equal protection, equal and uniform taxation or due process under the federal and state constitutions.

Status: Discovery in progress. Mediation held 10/15/02. Trial set 08/23/04.

Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400421
AG Case #041921966

Sales Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Scott Simmons
Filed: 02/11/04		
Period: 07/01/94-03/31/98	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Amount: \$28,353.00		Doug Sigel
		Scott, Douglass & McConnico
		Austin

Issue: Whether industrial solid waste removal is exempt as a real property service.

Status: Answer filed.

Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300886
AG Case #031770605

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 03/19/03		
Period: 10/01/91-09/30/98	Plaintiff's Counsel:	J. Scott Morris
Amount: \$285,284.13		J. Scott Morris, P.C.
		Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff performed its repairs under lump-sum contracts. Plaintiff also challenges the constitutionality of Rider 11.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 05/18/04.

Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03527
AG Case #98-930349

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 04/03/98 Period: 04/01/90- 03/31/94 Amount: \$291,196	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial to be set prior to 11/22/04.

Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #0000384
AG Case #001273051

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 02/11/00 Period: 04/01/94- 12/31/97 Amount: \$281,676.36	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial to be set prior to 11/22/04.

B&B Gravel Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302323
AG Case #031831712

Sales Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/01/03 Period: Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Richard S. Browne George D. Gordon Baggett, Gordon & Deison Conroe
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the liability assessed is inconsistent with the ALJ's decision and seeks review under the APA.

Status: Discovery in progress.

BGK Operating Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301224
AG Case #031786478

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 04/17/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Kal Malik
Period: 01/01/99- 07/31/02		Robert N. LeMay
Amount: \$28,407.44		Kane, Russell, Coleman & Logan Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is a lump-sum repairer of motor vehicles who should have paid tax on its purchases of oil and filters. Whether charging tax to the Plaintiff results in unconstitutional double taxation.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-02389
AG Case #95-234990

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 2/27/95	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Timothy M. Trickey
Period: 04/01/88- 06/30/92		The Trickey Law Firm
Amount: \$63,588		Austin

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on maid services provided by maids placed by Plaintiff's service but acting as independent contractors. Also, whether Plaintiff relied, to her detriment, on advice from the Comptroller's Office.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 04/07/04.

Bandas, David v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201236
AG Case #021598024

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/16/02 Period: 05/01/96- 04/30/00 Amount: \$24,178.86	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Tom Tourtellotte Hance Scarborough Wright Ginsberg & Brusilow Austin
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether plaintiff is entitled to a sale for resale exemption on data processing services used in preparing tax returns.

Status: Final Judgment for Plaintiff entered 09/29/03. Case to be closed pending final payment.

Bedrock General Contractors v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101432
AG Case #011442035

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/10/01 Period: 06/01/92- 01/31/96 Amount: \$64,552.33	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Timothy M. Trickey The Trickey Law Firm Austin
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether successor liability was retroactively imposed. Whether successor liability may be imposed when little or no cash is exchanged in the purchase of the predecessor.

Status: Answer filed.

Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-01092
AG Case #99-1112186

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 01/29/99 Period: 01/01/91- 12/31/94 Amount: \$81,571.73	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Timothy M. Trickey The Trickey Law Firm Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether taxpayer's sub-contract was a separated contract since the general contractor's construction contract was separated.

Status: Case dismissed for want of prosecution 06/17/03. Motion to Reinstate granted. Negotiating an Agreed Scheduling Order.

Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200525
AG Case #021567755

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 02/15/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Period: 01/01/90- 06/30/93		Stahl, Martens & Bernal
07/01/93-06/30/97		Austin
Amount: \$7,280,079		

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and a declaration that the Comptroller disregarded controlling federal law, violated equal protection or imposed tax on the U.S. government.

Status: Answer filed.

Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN204437
AG Case #041927062

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 02/23/04	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Period: 07/01/97- 05/31/02		Christi Mondrik
Amount: \$3,000,000		Stahl, Martens & Bernal
		Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and a declaration that the Comptroller disregarded controlling federal law, violated equal protection or imposed tax on the U.S. government. Plaintiff also seeks recovery of attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Big Tex Air Conditioning, Inc. v. Bullock, et al. Cause #486,321
AG Case #90-322672

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 6/26/90 Period: 04/01/85- 07/31/88 Amount: \$181,397	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson John W. Berkel Houston
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Detrimental reliance and various allegations of unconstitutional enforcement; statute of limitations.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203340
AG Case #021676804

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/13/02 Period: 01/01/95- 12/31/96 Amount: \$343,487	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade David H. Gilliland Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304372
AG Case #031884471

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 11/10/03 Period: 01/01/95- 12/31/99 Amount: \$500,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400552
AG Case #041928532

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 02/20/04 Period: 01/01/02- 12/31/02 Amount: \$50.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Richard C. Bonart (Pro Se) El Paso
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether microchips implanted in animals are exempt as health care supplies and as a therapeutic appliance or device. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal and uniform protection.

Status: Answer filed.

Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103568
AG Case #011518479

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment, Refund & Protest Filed: 10/26/01 Period: 01/01/91- 12/31/97 Amount: \$200,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson William E. Bailey Dallas
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's broadcast services are non-taxable information services under §151.0038(a). Whether Plaintiff's services are not taxable telecommunications services under §151.0103(l) or data processing under §151.0035. Whether the sale or use of Plaintiff's services occurred out-of-state. Whether Plaintiff's experts demonstrated that Plaintiff is exempt under federal law. Plaintiff asserts limitations as to part of the liability and also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002428
AG Case #001344233

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 08/18/00 Period: 04/01/94- 12/31/97 Amount: \$207,454.40	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne William T. Peckham Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on its sales of limestone to third parties under §151.311(a). Whether Plaintiff detrimentally relied on advice from the Comptroller's Office. Whether exemption certificates covered some sales that were assessed tax. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption under §151.318(g). Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 05/17/04.

Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-11455
AG Case #96-602037

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/20/96 Period: 07/01/86- 12/31/89 Amount: \$32,788	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade L.G. Skip Smith Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether utility pole replacement services are non-taxable maintenance or taxable repair labor.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204506
AG Case #031729197

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund Filed: 12/16/02 Period: 01/01/94- 12/31/97 Amount: \$210,943.91	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether items such as boxes, foam pads and twist ties are not subject to tax pursuant to Tex. Tax Code §151.011 (f)(2) and Rule 3.346 (c)(1)(c) when purchased by a person who uses the items to secure jewelry for shipment out-of-state.

Status: Answer filed.

Chevron Pipe Line Co. and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN304712

AG Case #031899016

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 12/12/03

Period: 07/01/91-
09/30/97

01/01/92-09/30/97

Amount: \$683,979.99
\$220,773.61

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Matthew J. Meese
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether installation of cathodic protection devices was new construction or maintenance. Whether excavation and back-filling were non-taxable unrelated services. Whether pipe replacement and recoating was non-taxable maintenance.

Status: Answer filed.

Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000525

AG Case #001258201

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 01/12/00

Period: 10/01/90-
12/31/93

Amount: \$64,868.50

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Blake Hawthorne

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Robert C. Alden
Phillip L. Sampson, Jr.
Bracewell & Patterson
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on promotional materials shipped from out-of-state. Whether the Comptroller's imposition of use tax is invalid because Plaintiff made no use of the materials in Texas. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid. Whether the tax violates the Commerce and Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution.

Status: Answer filed.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03533
AG Case #98-930330

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 04/03/98 Period: 04/01/90- 03/31/94 Amount: \$519,192	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial to be set prior to 11/22/04.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000376
AG Case #001273069

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 02/11/00 Period: 04/01/94- 03/31/98 Amount: \$650,361.82	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial to be set prior to 11/22/04.

Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03540
AG Case #98-930321

Sales Tax; Protest
Filed: 04/03/98
Period: 01/01/89-
06/30/89
07/01/89-12/31/91
Amount: \$1,635,965

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Blake Hawthorne

Jasper G. Taylor, III
Fulbright & Jaworski
Houston

Joe W. Cox
Coastal States
Management Corp.
Houston

Issue: Whether certain work performed by Plaintiff is new construction under a lump sum contract and thus not taxable.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff has submitted settlement offer.

Colt, Mach V., Trustee of the Harry T. Lloyd Charitable Trust, Successor in Interest to House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100740
AG Case #011423951

Sales Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 03/09/01
Period: 01/01/95-
03/31/99
Amount: \$645,193.40

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jana Kinkade

Marilyn A. Wethekam
Horwood Marcus & Berk
Chartered
Chicago, Illinois

David E. Cowling
Charolette Noel
Gregory E. Perry
Jones, Day, Reavis &
Pogue
Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to refund of sales tax on "hostess free goods," because Plaintiff paid use tax on the goods. Whether sales tax collected from its hostesses on hostess free goods can be refunded to them by a credit for merchandise. Whether Rule 3.325(b)(2) is invalid. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302009
AG Case #031816135

Sales Tax; Protest,
Refund & Declaratory
Judgment
Filed: 06/09/03
Period: 07/01/96-
12/31/98
Amount: \$1,322,536.67

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Christopher Jackson

Plaintiff's Counsel:

David Cowling
Robert Lochridge
Gregory E. Perry
Jones Day
Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on items transferred free of charge that are subsequently brought into Texas. Plaintiff specifically challenges whether: 1) "use" includes distribution; 2) use was only out-of-state where control transferred; 3) longstanding policy may be changed; 4) Rule 3.346 does not support tax on promotional materials; 5) use tax applies without title or possession; 6) no consideration for transfer; 7) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid; 8) tax is barred by Commerce, Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses; and 9) resale exemption applies. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400439
AG Case #041925868

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 02/13/04
Period: 02/01/93-
12/31/96
Amount: \$1,642,267.15

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jana Kinkade

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Matthew J. Meese
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of janitorial and building maintenance services being resold under a lease agreement are exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of mechanical maintenance services were exempt as taxable services purchased in the performance of a real property contract for an exempt entity.

Status: Answer filed.

Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203937
AG Case #021703947

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Scott Simmons
Filed: 10/30/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Period: 07/01/93-01/31/96		Ray Langenberg
02/01/96-11/30/96		Doug Sigel
Amount: \$1,100,000+		Scott, Douglass & McConnico
		Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sewing machines and other property used to alter clothing qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on packaging supplies, non-taxable services, and industrial solid waste disposal. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied a franchise tax credit to the assessed amount.

Status: Answer filed.

Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304838
AG Case #041904590

Sales Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Scott Simmons
Filed: 12/23/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Period: 07/01/93-01/31/96		Ray Langenberg
02/01/96-11/30/96		Doug Sigel
Amount: \$1,172,784.29		Scott, Douglass & McConnico
		Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sewing machines and other property used to alter clothing qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on packaging supplies, non-taxable services, industrial solid waste disposal, and sale for resale items.

Status: Answer filed.

DuPont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303695
AG Case #031855117

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/12/03 Period: 01/01/96- 10/31/97 Amount: \$299,987.35	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Larry F. York Susan F. Gusky York, Keller & Field Austin Jennifer K. Patterson Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of a cleanroom should have been an exempt sale for resale. Whether the lease of the cleanroom was incidental to the lease of the building in which it was housed and whether Rule 3.294(k)(1) is invalid. Whether the Comptroller's final decision is arbitrary and violates due process, equal and uniform taxation, and equal protection. Whether Rider 11 is unconstitutional as: (1) an amendment to substantive law; (2) a violation of due process, equal protection and open courts; and (3) an unconstitutional taking. Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees and demands a jury trial.

Status: Discovery in progress.

E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003589
AG Case #0011395316

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 12/15/00 Period: 01/01/93- 12/31/96 Amount: \$83,138.14	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Rudy de la Garza Brownsville
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether sales of grocery bags and sacks are not taxable when sold to grocery stores who have provided a blanket sale for resale certificate. Plaintiff also complains of audit calculation errors.

Status: Inactive.

EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200906
AG Case #021579578

Sales Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 03/19/02
Period: 04/94-03/31/98
Amount: \$123,440.25

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jana Kinkade

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Curtis J. Osterloh
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203514
AG Case #021681226

Sales Tax; Protest &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 09/26/02
Period: 01/01/98-
12/31/00
Amount: \$284,508.69

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Christopher Jackson

Plaintiff's Counsel:

David Cowling
Robert Lochridge
Gregory E. Perry
Jones, Day, Reavis &
Pogue
Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03525
AG Case #98-930358

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 04/03/98 Period: 01/01/89- 09/30/92 Amount: \$472,225	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03524
AG Case #98-930367

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 04/03/98 Period: 10/01/92- 03/31/96 Amount: \$748,773	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial to be set prior to 11/22/04.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101312
AG Case #011439874

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 05/01/01 Period: 04/01/96- 06/30/99 Amount: \$614,814.78	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304779
AG Case #041904616

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 12/18/03 Period: 01/01/96- 12/31/99 01/01/94-12/31/95 Amount: \$52,616.94	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Mark W. Eidman Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff leased real property not subject to the sales and use tax.

Status: Answer filed.

F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.
Cause #GN002724
AG Case #001353960

Sales Tax; Injunction Filed: 09/15/00 Period: 12/01/90- 11/30/97 Amount: \$360,671.05	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt Houston
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Comptroller's "estimated audit" is invalid. Whether Plaintiffs are entitled to an injunction of collection and of cancellation of their sales tax permits. Whether Tax Code §§112.051, 112.052, 112.101 and 112.108 are unconstitutional violations of the open courts provision. Plaintiffs seek a re-audit and a refund of money paid under protest in excess of the re-audited amount.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiffs currently preparing settlement offer.

FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102724
AG Case #011492857

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 08/22/01 Period: 10/01/94- 06/30/98 Amount: \$51,832.31	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's boxes and packing materials are exempt as items shipped out-of-state. Whether denial of the exemption violates equal protection.

Status: Discovery in progress. Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment hearing postponed.

Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-02407
AG Case #98-914152

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 03/05/98 Period: 10/01/90- 04/30/93 Amount: \$328,829	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of coin-operated as well as non-coin operated games are purchased for resale. Whether sales tax constitutes double taxation on machines on which occupation tax is paid and on non-coin games, admission to which is taxed. Advertising and sewing services are not taxable.

Status: Plaintiff filed a partial motion for summary judgment; hearing set 03/23/04.

Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. and San Antonio Theme Park, L.P. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200563 (Consolidated with *Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al.* Cause #98-02407)
AG Case #021567789

Sales Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 02/20/02		
Period: 05/01/93-	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
03/01/96		Ray Langenberg
03/01/96-02/28/98		Scott, Douglass &
Amount: \$592,759.97		McConnico
\$349,933.08		Austin

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of coin-operated as well as non-coin operated games are purchased for resale. Whether sales tax constitutes double taxation on machines on which occupation tax is paid and on non-coin games, admission to which is taxed. Advertising and sewing services are not taxable. Whether the assessment against Fiesta was outside limitations.

Status: See *Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al.* Cause #98-02407.

Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-07607
AG Case #98-1001886

Sales Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 07/17/98		
Period: 01/01/93-	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Stephen P. Dillon
09/30/95		Lindeman & Dillon
Amount: \$83,910		Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used the proper sampling procedure and whether Plaintiff was correctly notified of the procedure to be used.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial setting passed by agreement.

General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201322
AG Case #021598057

Sales Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 04/22/02		
Period: 09/01/88-	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Ira A. Lipstet
11/30/91		Matthew G. Grimmer
Amount: \$7,000,000		Jenkins & Gilchrist
		Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201323
AG Case #021598073

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/22/02 Period: 12/01/91- 02/28/93 Amount: \$4,500,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Ira A. Lipstet Matthew G. Grimmer Jenkins & Gilchrist Austin
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102934
AG Case #011492865

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 09/05/01 Period: 10/91-03/97 Amount: \$359,929.22	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Ira A. Lipstet Matthew G. Grimmer Jenkins & Gilchrist Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether additional resale certificates should have been accepted for Plaintiff's sales of boxes and packaging materials.

Status: Answer filed. Plaintiff to make settlement offer.

Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-01795
AG Case #97-682966

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 02/13/97 Period: 01/01/88- 12/31/91 Amount: \$107,667	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the sample audit resulted in a correct assessment.

Status: Trial set 04/21/04.

Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause
#GN300904
AG Case #031782931

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 03/20/03 Period: 06/01/95- 05/31/98 Amount: \$79,688.23	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Judy M. Cunningham Attorney at Law Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Answer filed.

H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11574
AG Case #98-1063332

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/13/98 Period: 07/01/90- 12/31/93 Amount: \$1,076,019	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee 03/25/99. Motion to dismiss by court set 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 04/25/01.

Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-14786
AG Case #91-164788

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 10/18/91 Period: 01/01/87 - 03/31/90 Amount: \$62,465	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt John D. Bell Wood, Boykin & Wolter Corpus Christi
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether predominant use of electricity from Plaintiff's meter is exempt. Whether burden of proof in administrative hearing should be clear and convincing evidence or preponderance of the evidence.

Status: Special exceptions and answer filed.

Hines Interests Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003245
AG Case #001381680

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund Filed: 11/08/00 Period: 07/01/92- 02/28/94 Amount: \$129,677.60	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Gerard A. Desrochers Houston
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether correction of original construction defects is new construction or real property repair and remodeling. Whether Comptroller Rule 3.357 conflicts with legislative intent. Whether the Comptroller's application of the statute and rule violate due process and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed. Awaiting DWOP setting.

Hollon Oil Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303895

AG Case #031866668

Sales Tax; Protest &
Refund

Filed: 09/30/03

Period: 01/01/99-
12/31/02

Amount: \$144,937.05

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christopher Jackson

Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Christina A. Mondrik
Stahl, Martens & Bernal,
LLP
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales and use tax on materials which Plaintiff purchased for installation in customers' vehicles. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a credit for sales tax collected from customers for said materials.

Status: Answer filed.

House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000111

AG Case #001261478

Sales Tax; Protest &
Refund

Filed: 01/21/00

Period: 06/01/92-
12/31/96

Amount: \$597,281.67

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jana Kinkade

Marilyn A. Wethekam
Horwood Marcus & Berk
Chartered
Chicago, Illinois

L.G. (Skip) Smith
Clark, Thomas & Winters
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on direct sales items, hostess free goods and demonstrator kits. Whether Plaintiff owes tax for under-collection of local sales tax. Whether the Comptroller's sample was flawed because it failed to consider over-collections of tax. Whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203450
AG Case #021681218

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 09/20/02 Period: 01/01/93- 08/31/99 Amount: \$1,046,033.09	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo W. Stephen Benesh James E. Boice Bracewell & Patterson Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller assessed tax on transactions that were sales for resale or on which use tax had already been paid.

Status: Discovery in progress.

J.C. Penney Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300883
AG Case #031770613

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 03/19/03 Period: 01/01/91- 03/31/93 Amount: \$951,802.17	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt David Cowling Robert Lochridge Jones Day Dallas
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on paper, ink and the printing of catalogs printed out-of-state. Whether local use tax in McAllen, Texas applies to Plaintiff's aircraft. Alternatively, whether the printing service is performed outside Texas. Whether a sales and use tax on the catalogs violates the Commerce Clause, due process or equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

JHS Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201357
AG Case #021613591

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 04/25/02 Period: 01/01/97- 09/30/99 Amount: \$77,774.37	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Arne M. Ray Ray & Associates Houston
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes tax for storage of abandoned vehicles later sold by the City of Houston. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Plaintiff granted declaratory judgment action without pre-payment of tax.

Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101492
AG Case #011451598

Sales Tax; Refund and Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/16/01 Period: 12/01/92 through 03/31/97 Amount: \$43,121.45	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Steve M. Williard L. Don Knight Meyer, Knight & Williams Houston
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether plaintiff's sale of cookies and brownies is taxable under Tax Code §151.314 and Comptroller Rule 3.293. Plaintiff also seeks review under the Administrative Procedures Act and the UDJA, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Amended Petition filed. Discovery in progress.

John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al. Cause
#GN001612
AG Case #001316520

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 06/05/00 Period: 01/01/94- 12/31/98 Amount: \$345,377.95	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne James D. Blume Jennifer S. Stoddard Blume & Stoddard Dallas
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether an insurance company is exempt from sales taxes on its use of electricity on the grounds that Tex. Ins. Code Art. 4.11, Section 9 prohibits them.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment filed. Case stayed pending the outcome of *USAA v. Strayhorn*, Cause No. 03-02-00747-CV in the Third Court of Appeals. Summary Judgment hearing to be rescheduled. Trial set 11/08/04.

Kennedy, Gary G. dba Kennedy's Korner v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN202992
AG Case #021663539

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 08/22/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gary G. Kennedy
Period:		Pro Se
Amount: \$		Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may enjoin fraud audit subpoena and suspension of his sales and mixed beverage permits.

Status: Counter-claim filed. Taxpayer filed bankruptcy 10/15/03.

LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203321
AG Case #021676770

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 09/13/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Alan E. Sherman, Esq.
Period: 06/01/86-08/31/92		Dallas
Amount: \$8,576,046		

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and that the incidence of the tax falls on the federal government. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller violated the commerce clause by failing to follow title-passing regulations and also seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002190
AG Case #001335645

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 08/02/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	James F. Martens
Period: 1991-1997		Stahl, Martens & Bernal
Amount: \$520,983.95		Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff has nexus in Texas for tax on performance of lab tests in Kansas. Whether Plaintiff's activities are taxable insurance services in Texas. Whether Plaintiff's services and sales of supplies are exempt by rule and statute. Whether tax on Plaintiff violates due process and equal taxation. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment hearing held 06/24/02. District Court denied parties' cross-motions for summary judgment. Trial postponed. Settlement negotiations in progress.

Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300575
AG Case #031759657

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 02/21/03 Period: 05/01/93- 06/30/96 10/01/91-06/30/96 01/01/90-12/31/92 07/01/91-06/30/96 Amount: \$6,726 \$591,086	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Curtis Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether post-mix machines qualify for manufacturing tax exemption. Whether some of the machines also qualify for the sale for resale exemption, because plaintiff received consideration even if not valued in money.

Status: Answer filed.

Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11834
AG Case #98-1064363

Sales Tax; Protest; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/20/98 Period: 08/1-30/98 Amount: \$2,054	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons John Christian Vinson & Elkins Austin
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on the portion of country club membership fees designated as "capital improvement fees" and "gratuities."

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 07/25/02. Reopened, as plaintiff has filed a Motion for Reinstatement in 10/02.

Lebaron Hotel Corp., dba The Lebaron Hotel v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-17399
AG Case #92-10477

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 12/13/91 Period: 10/01/87 - 06/30/90 Amount: \$22,326	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Robert C. Cox Dallas
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Comptroller could tax an arbitrary percentage of ingredients in complimentary mixed drinks and whether ingredients are exempt because they are taxed elsewhere. Is tax due on repairs to parking lot. Whether purchase of items from Ramada Inn is exempt as entire operating assets of a business or identifiable segment.

Status: Non-suited. Case to be closed.

Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-01091
AG Case #99-1112160

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 01/29/99 Period: 01/01/92- 12/31/95 Amount: \$31,830.47	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Timothy M. Trickey The Trickey Law Firm Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Various issues, including credits for bad debts, tax paid, tax on new construction and tax paid in Louisiana, resale exemptions and waiver of penalty and interest.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending.

Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P. Cause #GN201252
AG Case #041926635

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: Period: Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Mark L. Perlmutter C Brooks Schuelke Perlmutter & Schuelke, LLP Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Plaintiff claims a refund for the class of persons who paid sales tax on rebates. Plaintiff seeks declaratory judgment interpreting Texas Tax Code Sections pertaining to cash discounts and exemption from sales tax..

Status: Answer filed.

Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN202795
AG Case #021663307

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Scott Simmons
Filed: 08/14/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	James F. Martens
Period: 1991-1999		Christina A. Mondrik
Amount: \$136,659.08		Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs owe tax on computer-related temporary services. Whether the Comptroller improperly assessed tax on items sold out of state or on sales for resale. Plaintiffs also claim a violation of equal protection and seek attorneys' fees.

Status: Third settlement meeting scheduled. Trial set 08/23/04.

Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-15042
AG Case #001254036

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 12/31/99	Plaintiff's Counsel:	James D. Blume
Period:		Jennifer S. Stoddard
Amount: \$34,390.24		Blume & Stoddard Dallas
		Judy M. Cunningham Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was doing business in Texas by delivering and installing its signs that were sold under contract negotiated outside of Texas. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 06/14/04.

Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103525
AG Case #011523446

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 10/24/01	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Period: 09/01/92- 11/30/95		Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$2,680,000		Doug Sigel
		Curtis J. Osterloh
		Scott, Douglass & McConnico
		Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201000
AG Case #021583745

Sales Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 03/26/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Ira A. Lipstet
Period: 03/01/93- 01/31/96		Matthew G. Grimmer
Amount: \$7,000,000		Jenkins & Gilchrist
		Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200999

AG Case #021583737

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 03/26/02

Period: 01/01/96-
09/30/97

Amount: \$3,500,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Ira A. Lipstet
Matthew G. Grimmer
Jenkins & Gilchrist
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201725

AG Case #021620414

Sales Tax; Refund &
Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/23/02

Period: 12/01/95-
06/30/97

Amount: \$1,857,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Curtis J. Osterloh
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300420
AG Case #031751118

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 02/10/03 Period: 07/01/97- 07/31/01 Amount: \$2,837,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause
#GN400625
AG Case #041928870

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 02/26/04 Period: 01/01/99- 12/31/00 Amount: \$1,025,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301686
AG Case #031802978

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 05/23/03 Period: 01/01/96- 04/30/99 Amount: \$2,015,426.24	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Douglas W. Sanders Elizabeth A. Copeland Jeffrey T. Cullinane Oppenheimer, Blend, Harrison & Tate San Antonio
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's audit was flawed because the Comptroller improperly failed to consider late resale or other exemptions in the sample. Whether the sample methodology and 60-day letter made it impossible for Plaintiff to show that the assessment was wrong. Plaintiff also requests a jury trial.

Status: Discovery in progress.

May Department Stores Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300583
#03-03-00729-CV
AG Case #031759525

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 02/21/03 Period: 04/01/96- 03/31/99 Amount: \$930,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether printing on bulk paper purchased out-of-state and made into catalogs and circulars is subject to use tax. Whether the essence of the transaction in producing the catalogs is non-taxable labor. Whether "distribution" is included in the use tax.

Status: Summary Judgment granted to Comptroller 10/30/03. Plaintiff filed Notice of Appeal 12/02/03. Appellant's brief filed 01/12/04. Oral argument requested. Appellees' brief filed 02/17/04.

Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #94-11610
AG Case #94-149390

Sales Tax; Protest and Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/16/94 Period: 05/01/94- 06/30/94 Amount: \$17,063	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Garry M. Miles Vinson & Elkins Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's services are taxable (1) insurance services, (2) debt collection services, or (3) data processing services, and whether Rules 3.330, 3.354, and 3.355 exceed the Comptroller's rule making authority.

Status: Inactive.

Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201330
AG Case #021604541

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 04/22/02 Period: 01/01/95- 12/31/98 Amount: \$160,870.48	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Christia Parr Mitchell, Pro Se San Antonio
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether plaintiff may recover a sales tax refund for taxes paid by a corporation controlled by her ex-husband when the liability was paid pursuant to orders of the court in which the divorce was granted.

Status: Inactive.

Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203398
AG Case #021676812

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 09/18/02 Period: 04/01/97- 07/31/99 Amount: \$15,841	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons James F. Martens Jessica Scott Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller wrongfully assessed additional sales tax by misstating Plaintiff's gross taxable receipts and wrongfully failed to entertain Plaintiff's refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

National Business Furniture, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03927
AG Case #98-932766

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 04/15/98	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Period: 01/01/93- 07/31/95		Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
Amount: \$68,398		

Issue: Whether promotional materials printed out-of-state and delivered into Texas are subject to use tax.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 03/08/04.

Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #93-10279-A
AG Case #93-340549

Sales Tax; Protest, Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 08/26/93	Plaintiff's Counsel:	David E. Cowling
Period: 01/01/87- 03/31/90		Gregg Perry
Amount: \$1,046,465		Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas

Issue: Plaintiff's customers buy gifts from Plaintiff outside Texas and have the gifts delivered by common carrier to Texas "donees." Should the Comptroller have assessed use tax on these "gift sends." Second Issue: whether tax is due on certain remodeling services. Plaintiff asks for attorneys fees under 42 USC §§1983 and 1988.

Status: Agreed judgment signed 03/11/96 on the gift send issue. An agreed order for severance was signed on 03/11/96 on the remodeling issues and the attorneys' fees. Cause renumbered 93-10279-A. State filed a plea to jurisdiction on attorneys' fees on 10/06/93.

Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102403
AG Case #011478294

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 08/01/01 Period: 04/01/90- 12/31/93 Amount: \$1,908,969.01	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether printing charges for catalogs are not subject to use tax because: (a) the printing services were not used in Texas, (b) the printed catalogs were gifts for which title transferred outside Texas, (c) plaintiff did not have sufficient control to be a Texas user, (d) the statute does not include distribution in the definition of use, (e) no use tax is due under the doctrine of *Morton Bldgs.*, (f) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) does not apply or is invalid, and/or (g) Tax Code 151.3111(a) exempts the printing service. Whether photograph retouching is (a) a sale of tangible personal property, or (b) repair, remodeling, maintenance or restoration of tangible personal property, or (c) exempt under Tax Code 151.330(e). Also, whether remodeling contracts were tax included and whether sampling was improper. Plaintiff seeks attorneys fees.

Status: Answer filed.

North American Intelcom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-05318
AG Case #97-733563

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 05/02/97 Period: 04/01/91- 05/31/95 Amount: \$2,029,180	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Jasper G. Taylor, III Fulbright & Jaworski Houston
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether care, custody, and control of Plaintiff's public telephone equipment passed to their customers, so that Plaintiff could buy the equipment tax free for resale.

Status: Inactive.

North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #94-08603
AG Case #94-113766

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	James Parsons
Filed: 7/14/94	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Judy M. Cunningham
Period: 05/02/91-12/31/91		Attorney at Law
Amount: \$24,307		Austin

Issue: Whether a sale of a business approved by the SBA (which held a lien and received the proceeds) is tantamount to a foreclosure sale so that no successor liability should attach.

Status: Answer filed; inactive. Parties are involved in informal discussions to resolve or eliminate issues currently in controversy.

Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201344
AG Case #021607155

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 05/01/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Period: 09/01/92-11/30/95		Stahl, Martens & Bernal
Amount: \$1,600,000		Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff claims that collection of the tax violates the supremacy clause as a tax on the U.S. government and that the Comptroller violated the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal taxation by denying the refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-13885
AG Case #91-149840

Sales Tax; Protest and Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 09/27/91	Plaintiff's Counsel:	David H. Gilliland
Period: 04/01/84 - 03/31/88		Clark, Thomas & Winters
Amount: \$432,105		Austin

Issue: Resale certificates; taxable maintenance services; taxability of various chemicals and other tangible personal property used in oil well services.

Status: Inactive.

Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-03919 (consolidated with Cause No. 95-00690, *Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*)
AG Case #97-706272

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 04/01/97	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gerard A. Desrochers
Period: 01/01/90-12/31/90		Houston
Amount: \$57,815		

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erroneously denied Plaintiff's claim for refund of tax paid on manufacturing equipment, alleging that Plaintiff was not engaged in actual manufacturing.

Status: See Cause No. 95-00690, *Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.*

Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-00690
AG Case #95-214921

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 01/18/95	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gerard A. Desrochers
Period: 1990		Houston
Amount: \$74,608		

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erroneously denied Plaintiff's claim for refund of tax paid on manufacturing equipment, alleging that Plaintiff was not engaged in actual manufacturing.

Status: Nothing pending.

R Communications, Inc. fka RN Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-4893
#03-91-00390CV
AG Case #91-62355

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Filed: 04/08/91	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark How
Period: 10/01/80 - 11/02/84		Short, How, Frels & Tredoux
Amount: \$None (Plaintiff was assessed \$67,836 tax but did not pay)		Dallas

Issue: Whether a taxpayer can be required to pay the disputed tax before filing suit in district court. Constitutionality of §112.108 under Texas Constitution Open Courts provision.

Status: District Court granted State's plea to the jurisdiction. State won the appeal. Supreme Court reversed and remanded on 04/27/94. State's motion for rehearing denied. Inactive.

RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003556
AG Case #011395266

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 12/12/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	David Cowling
Period: 01/01/89-12/31/93		Gregory E. Perry
Amount: \$297,616.32		Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue
		Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff lacks nexus for collection of use tax on accounts receivable that were factored to it. Whether Plaintiff is a "seller" or "retailer" engaged in business in Texas. Whether Plaintiff is liable under §111.016 as a person who received tax. Whether imposition of tax denies equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

R.G.V. Vending, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304344
AG Case #031881428

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 11/07/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark D. Hopkins
Period: 09/01/99- 12/31/01		Savrick, Schumann, Johnson & McGarr
Amount: \$233,847.27		Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on vending machine items for those items sold or severed by schools. Whether the sample audit was invalid. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plaintiff filed Application for Temporary Restraining Order 01/22/04. Defendant filed Response to Plaintiff's TRO application 01/22/04. TRO application denied 01/22/04.

Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101511
#03-02-00346-CV
#03-0416
AG Case #011451606

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment and Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 05/17/01	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Period: 06/01/89 - 12/31/96		Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$6,000,000		Doug Sigel
		Curtis J. Osterloh
		Scott, Douglass & McConnico
		Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing held 03/05/02. Partial summary judgment for plaintiff signed 03/29/02. Judgment for Raytheon granted 05/15/02. State's Notice of Appeal filed 06/04/02. Appellants' brief filed 09/20/02. Appellee's brief filed 10/18/02. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/07/02. Oral argument completed 12/04/02. Comptroller's post-submission brief filed 12/15/02. Trial court affirmed, in part, remanded, in part, 01/30/03. Motion for Rehearing and Motion for En Banc Reconsideration filed by State 03/17/03; denied 03/27/03. Petition for Review filed by State 05/12/03. Response filed 05/20/03 by Raytheon. Reply filed by State 05/30/03. Petition for Review denied 08/28/03. Motion for Rehearing filed by State 09/12/03; denied 10/24/03. Final order of the Supreme Court sent to Court of Appeals 12/09/03. Case is in discovery on remand. Summary Judgment hearing set 06/03/04.

Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201022
AG Case #021588694

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 03/28/02 Period: 08/01/88 - 05/31/97 Amount: \$2,500,000.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co. and Daimlerchrysler Corp. as Successors to Central Texas Airborne Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302082
AG Case #031816143

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 06/13/03 Period: 04/01/89- 12/31/96 Amount: \$228,368	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN303643

AG Case #031853625

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 09/09/03

Period: 07/01/97-
12/31/98

Amount: \$3,500,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303644

AG Case #031853633

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 09/09/03

Period: 01/01/99-
12/31/02

Amount: \$7,400,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN303645

AG Case #031853641

Sales Tax; Refund

Filed: 09/09/03

Period: 01/01/97-
12/31/98

Amount: \$4,000,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN304089

AG Case #031873441

Sales Tax; Protest,
Refund & Declaratory

Judgment

Filed: 10/16/03

Period: 10/01/91-
12/31/96

Amount: \$389,408.28

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jim Cloudt

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002831
AG Case #001357631

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 09/25/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	David Cowling
Period: 04/01/88- 05/31/92		Robert Lochridge
Amount: \$713,686.05		Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue
\$206,053.87		Dallas

Issue: Whether various equipment used by the Plaintiff with its trucks is exempt from use tax as tangible personal property sold to a common carrier for use outside the state. Alternatively, whether the equipment had been taxed as vehicle components under the interstate motor carrier tax and could not be taxed as "accessories." Alternatively, whether taxing 100% of the value of the equipment violates the Commerce Clause because of a lack of substantial nexus and of fair apportionment. Whether all tax was paid on Plaintiff's repair and remodeling contracts and capital assets. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Trial to be set prior to 02/28/05.

Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301171
AG Case #031786551

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 04/11/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Henry Binder
Period: 06/01/95- 07/31/98		Porter & Hedges
Amount: \$23,492.41		Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is required to pay additional tax after the Comptroller's administrative order became final. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption for down-hole drilling equipment and whether completion of Plaintiff's facility was new construction

Status: Answer filed.

Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203339
AG Case #021676788

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 09/13/02 Period: 01/01/97- 12/31/98 Amount: \$591,028.39	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade David H. Gilliland Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc. , dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.
Cause #GN202097
AG Case #021640651

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 06/28/02 Period: 08/01/97- 07/31/00 Amount: \$45,059.74	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons William T. Peckham Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on food sold from its convenience store area. Whether the Comptroller applied proper percentages for loss and waste.

Status: Answer filed.

Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-07605
AG Case #99-1187592

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/01/99 Period: 07/01/95- 05/31/97 Amount: \$140,936.92	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Kevin W. Morse Blazier, Christensen & Bigelow Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the portion of Plaintiff's gym membership fee allocated to aerobic training is included in Plaintiff's taxable amusement services. Whether the Comptroller improperly disregarded the rule addressing non-taxable aerobic and tanning services under the amusement services tax. Whether the Comptroller should have applied its detrimental reliance policy.

Status: Inactive. Plaintiff paid tax under pay-out agreement.

Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11572
AG Case #98-1063308

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/13/98 Period: 01/01/92- 12/31/93 Amount: \$413,569	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson David E. Cowling Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Dallas
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee on 03/25/99. Motion to dismiss set 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 04/25/01.

Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203645
AG Case #021686779

Sales Tax; Protest, Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/09/02 Period: 07/01/94- 11/30/97 Amount: \$264,355.46	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin Martin I. Eisenstein Kevin J. Beal Brann & Isaacson Lewiston, ME
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203821
AG Case #021696851

Sales Tax; Protest,
Refund & Declaratory
Judgment
Filed: 10/22/02
Period: 12/01/97-
03/31/01
Amount: \$258,205.20

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Stahl, Martens & Bernal
Austin

Martin I. Eisenstein
Kevin J. Beal
Brann & Isaacson
Lewiston, ME

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because:
(1) plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and,
(3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103910
AG Case #011532355

Sales Tax; Protest &
Declaratory Judgment
Filed: 11/27/01
Period: 01/01/95-
12/31/98
Amount: \$219,219.35
\$47.15

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Christopher Jackson

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Stahl, Martens & Bernal
Austin

Issue: Whether items used in vessel repair, such as paint-gun parts, are exempt materials.
Whether denial of the exemption violates equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 08/09/04.

Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103390
AG Case #011509668

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 10/15/01 Period: 01/01/96- 12/31/99 Amount: \$188,477.57	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne H. Christopher Mott Krafsur Gordon Mott El Paso
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes tax on electricity used to freeze food items.

Status: Answer filed.

Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-14298
AG Case #96-637296

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 11/22/96 Period: 02/01/86- 01/31/90 Amount: \$1,269,474	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether networking services are taxable as telecommunications services.

Status: Discussions in progress.

Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200631
AG Case #021567771

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 02/25/02 Period: 04/01/91- 04/30/94 Amount: \$103,335.27	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether plaintiff is entitled to a tax refund for repairs to tangible personal property on the grounds that such repairs were for casualty losses exempt under the Comptroller's Rule 3.357 and 3.310. Whether the claim is barred by limitations. Whether the Comptroller improperly changed the rule on casualty losses.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment filed. Response to be filed. Arguments on 04/07/04.

Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN001808
AG Case #001323633

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 06/23/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark D. Hopkins
Period: 01/01/94- 12/31/96		Fields & Hopkins Austin
Amount: \$6,532,000		Hilary Thomas Kondos & Kondos Law Offices Richardson

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is a direct sales company and may be regarded as a retailer for sales made by independent retailers of business start-up kits. Whether the Comptroller's rule defining direct sales organizations violates due process. Whether §151.024 was applied retroactively. Whether the items at issue are not taxable tangible personal property. Whether the Comptroller erred in basing the assessment on the suggested retail price of all issued items. Whether penalty and interest should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400465
AG Case #041925850

Sales Tax; Refund & Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 02/17/04	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Period: 05/01/98- 04/30/01		Curtis Osterloh
Amount: \$92,357.48		Matthew J. Meese
		Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Answer filed.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100633
AG Case #011420734

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 03/01/01	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Judy M. Cunningham
Period: 01/01/94- 12/31/96		Austin
Amount: \$196,492.74		

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Answer filed.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302075
AG Case #031816119

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 06/13/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Judy M. Cunningham
Period: 07/01/94- 06/30/98		Austin
Amount: \$270,401.80		

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Answer filed.

TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-11647
AG Case #991219239

Sales Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Declaratory Judgment		
Filed: 10/06/99	Plaintiff's Counsel:	David Cowling
Period: 10/01/91-		Robert Lochridge
03/31/93		Jones, Day, Reavis &
Amount: \$146,484.05		Pogue
		Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff sold electricity for commercial use when it obtained electrical service under a management agreement for another company which used the electricity in manufacturing or processing. Whether the exemption for electricity used in manufacturing requires the purchaser of electricity to be the user. Whether Plaintiff can be held as a seller of electricity in violation of the TPURA. Whether Plaintiff's right to equal and uniform taxation has been violated. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 08/02/04.

TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-11648
AG Case #99-1219221

Sales Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Declaratory Judgment		
Filed: 10/05/99	Plaintiff's Counsel:	David Cowling
Period: 07/01/89-		Robert Lochridge
12/31/91		Jones, Day, Reavis &
Amount: \$479,719.44		Pogue
		Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff sold electricity for commercial use when it obtained electrical service under a management agreement for another company which used the electricity in manufacturing or processing. Whether the exemption for electricity used in manufacturing requires the purchaser of electricity to be the user. Whether Plaintiff can be held as a seller of electricity in violation of the TPURA. Whether Plaintiff's right to equal and uniform taxation has been violated. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 08/02/04.

TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100339
AG Case #011409653

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 02/01/01
Period: 01/01/93-
06/30/96
Amount: \$475,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Blake Hawthorne

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Eric Hagenswold
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether conversion of drilling rigs to self-propelled, deep water rigs is manufacturing under the statute and Comptroller rules. Whether dredging is non-taxable maintenance of real property. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100705
AG Case #011422482

Sales Tax; Refund
Filed: 03/07/01
Period: 03/01/93-
12/31/96
Amount: \$400,000

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Scott Simmons

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Mark W. Eidman
Ray Langenberg
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether cable equipment on the customer's premises qualifies for the sale for resale exemption for property used to provide a taxable service.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Texaco, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201543
AG Case #021613625

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 05/10/02 Period: 05/01/87- 12/31/90 Amount: \$157,090.20	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Plaintiff claims that interest should be offset or waived for a period before a refund was made to a subsidiary.

Status: Answer filed.

Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al. Cause #485,228
AG Case #90-311185

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 06/05/90 Period: 01/01/85 - 06/30/88 Amount: \$294,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Ira A. Lipstet Jenkins & Gilchrist Austin
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Are pipes exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intra plant transportation.

Status: Nothing pending.

Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103526
AG Case #011523420

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/24/01 Period: 07/01/87- 12/31/90 Amount: \$27,000,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103527
AG Case #011523438

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/24/01 Period: 01/01/91- 07/31/97 Amount: \$102,000,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

USA Waste Services of Houston, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003453
#03-03-00515-CV
AG Case #001388065

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 12/01/00 Period: 01/01/94- 03/31/97 Amount: \$14,016.28	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether steam cleaning done for Plaintiff's customers by a third party is a sale for resale as an integral part of Plaintiff's taxable waste removal services.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 07/14/03; Summary Judgment granted for Comptroller. Notice of Appeal filed 08/25/03. Appellant's brief filed 10/13/03. Appellees' brief filed 11/13/03. Appellant's reply brief filed 12/03/03. Appellees' amended brief filed 12/12/03. Submitted on Oral Argument 02/04/04.

Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000580
AG Case #001261452

Sales Tax; Protest Filed: 01/13/00 Period: 01/01/89- 12/31/92 Amount: \$575,857.40	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Curtis Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to an exemption on labor charges for installing floating roofs on tanks at its chemical plant because: (1) the roofs are exempt pollution control equipment, (2) the labor was for non-taxable new construction, or (3) the labor was for remodeling of tangible personal property.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending.

United Services Automobile Association & USAA Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103414
#03-02-00747-CV
#03-1172
AG Case #011509643

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 10/16/01 Period: 02/01/91- 12/31/99 Amount: \$200,000,000+	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether plaintiffs are exempt from sales taxes because of Tex. Ins. Code arts. 4.10 and 4.11.

Status: Defendants' plea to the jurisdiction set 05/01/02. Summary Judgment for Defendants granted 05/13/02. Plaintiffs filed motion for new trial to extend deadline for appeal. Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiff 11/27/02. USAA's brief filed 04/07/03. Comptroller's brief filed 06/13/03. Oral argument completed 09/10/03. Appellee's post-submission brief filed 09/16/03. Opinion issued 11/06/03 affirming trial court's Summary Judgment in favor of Comptroller. Petition for Review filed 12/19/03. Respondents filed Waiver of Response 01/12/04. Court requested response, filed 02/12/04.

Val-Pak Franchise Operations, Inc. dba Valpak of Houston v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN300267

AG Case #031746142

Sales Tax; Protest &
Refund

Filed: 01/28/03

Period: 04/01/95-
12/31/98

Amount: \$734,112.10

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Jana Kinkade

R. James George, Jr.
James A. Hemphill
George & Donaldson,
LLP
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff sells non-taxable advertising services. Whether Plaintiff purchases non-taxable proprietary information services. Whether marketing fees are non-taxable membership dues.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-11751

AG Case #96-611633

Sales Tax; Protest

Filed: 09/27/96

Period: 06/01/88-
06/30/92

Amount: \$35,247

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Scott Simmons

Richard L. Rothfelder
Milissa M. Magee
Kirkendall, Isgur &
Rothfelder
Houston

Issue: Whether prizes obtained by collecting tickets from amusement machines in a restaurant are “purchased” by the customer as part of the price of the food.

Status: Inactive.

White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304767

AG Case #041904608

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 12/18/03 Period: 10/01/93- 12/31/97 Amount: \$415,185.61	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Judy M. Cunningham Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt under Tax Code Sections 151.317 and 151.318. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether the decision of the Comptroller violated the statute and long-standing Comptroller policy.

Status: Answer filed.

Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller Cause #GN304667

AG Case #031899222

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 12/10/03 Period: 2002 Amount: \$50,000	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Michael R. Cooper Salado
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's civil rights were violated by the Comptroller's audit and whether the audit assessment should be set aside for lack of substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201795

AG Case #021626239

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 05/30/02 Period: 09/01/94- 05/31/98 Amount: \$273,005.56	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes sales tax on the discount and reserve amounts of its factored contracts when plaintiff is a cash-basis taxpayer.

Status: Answer filed.

Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN202030
AG Case #021640669

Sales Tax; Refund Filed: 06/24/02 Period: 08/01/92- 02/28/97 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on items temporarily stored in Texas. Whether tax on services purchased by Plaintiff should be reduced to reflect the out-of-state benefit of those services. Whether Plaintiff should get a refund or credit for tax paid on inventory. Whether the Comptroller should be barred from off-setting debts in the period between the filing of Plaintiff's bankruptcy petition and the confirmation of its reorganization plan.

Status: Answer filed.

Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301725
AG Case #031806045

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/27/03 Period: 08/01/92- 02/28/97 Amount: \$1,170,404.64	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to exemption on items of inventory temporarily stored in-state. Whether tax was improperly assessed on services performed outside the state. Whether installation services on counters and software were readily separable from taxable tangible property. Whether the Comptroller should be enjoined from taking offsets pursuant to Plaintiff's bankruptcy plea.

Status: Answer filed.

Insurance Tax

Allstate County Mutual Insurance Co.; Allstate Insurance Co.; Allstate Indemnity Co.; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300968
AG Case #031778947

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest, Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 03/26/03 Period: 1995-1998 Amount: \$174,386.15 \$10,529.48 \$4,013.24 \$11,858.40 \$7,306.09 (Total: \$208,093.27)	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Steven D. Moore Fred B. Werkenthin Jackson Walker Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs owe gross premiums tax on defaulted auto insurance premiums that are not received.

Status: Answer filed.

American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al. Cause #396,975
AG Case #86-1483

Gross Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/08/86 Period: 1985-1988 Amount: \$1,745,569	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Fred B. Werkenthin Jackson & Walker Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 4.10 unconstitutionally discriminates against foreign property and casualty companies by basing the premium tax rate on their percentage of Texas investments (equal protection). (Pleadings refer to art. 4.10, but protest letters refer to arts. 4.11 and 21.46.) Also seeks recovery and attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

Status: Inactive.

American Fidelity Assurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302070
AG Case #031816564

Insurance Premium Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 06/12/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Michael W. Jones
Period: 1992		Kevin F. Lee
Amount: \$241,625.20		Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons Austin

Issue: Whether investments in “Fannie Mae” and “Freddie Mac” mortgage pools qualify as investments in Texas mortgages. Whether Rule 3.809 (c) is invalid.

Status: Answer filed.

American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002666 (Consolidated with *Lexington Insurance Co. and Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.*, Cause #GN100569)
AG Case #001351998

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Filed: 09/08/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Anthony Icenogle
Period: 1995		Joseph C. Boggins
Amount: \$362,975.97		DeLeon & Boggins Austin

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: See *Lexington Insurance Co. and Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.*, Cause #GN100569.

Dorinco Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203924
AG Case #021700380

Gross Premium Insurance and Maintenance Tax; Protest Filed: 10/29/02 Period: 1991-1997 Amount: \$1,411,505.77	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether tax was improperly assessed because Texas has no nexus with plaintiff or with the transactions in issue. Whether tax was also improperly assessed on premiums that did not cover Texas risks.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 11/18/03.

Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101899
AG Case #011464476

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 06/20/01 Period: 1992-1998 Amount: \$439,074.12	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Stephen L. Phillips Brian C. Newby Julie K. Lane Cantey & Hanger, Roan & Autry Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an authorized surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance premiums tax. Whether the Comptroller lacks authority to determine that Plaintiff is an unauthorized insurer, and whether the Texas Department of Insurance is required to make that determination. Whether the Comptroller engaged in selective and improper enforcement. Whether the assessment violates Due Process and the McCarran-Ferguson Act. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301692
AG Case #031806011

Retaliatory Tax; Protest, Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/23/03 Period: 1998 through 2002 Amount: \$1,432,580.76	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christine Monzingo Ron K. Eudy Sneed, Vine & Perry Austin
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys’ fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Motion for Summary Judgment hearing set 05/18/04.

Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100569
#03-03-00169-CV
AG Case #011417896

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 02/22/01 Period: 1992-1995 Amount: \$1,596,196.63 \$36,174.92	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Anthony Icenogle Joseph C. Boggins De Leon & Boggins Austin
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys’ fees.

Status: Summary Judgment motions held 08/01/02; Summary Judgment granted for insurers. Notice of Appeal filed 03/21/03. Appellants’ brief filed 08/15/03. Appellee’s brief filed 11/10/03. Appellants’ reply brief filed 12/05/03. Oral argument held 01/07/04. Third Court of Appeals reversed and remanded trial court’s judgment 02/20/04.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. Cause #484,745
AG Case #90-304512

Gross Premium Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Filed: 05/24/90	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Fred B. Werkenthin
Period: 1985-1986		Steve Moore
1989-1992		Breck Harrison
Amount: \$1,848,606		Jackson & Walker Austin

Issue: Whether insurance taxes are owed by insurance companies on dividends applied to paid-up additions and renewal premiums.

Status: 9th Amended Petition filed. Settlement discussed, and partial settlement agreed to. Final judgment signed on paid-up additions issue. Renewal premium issue severed and retained on docket. Plaintiffs have made settlement offer on remainder of case.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. Cause #484,796
AG Case #90-304503

Maintenance Tax; Protest	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Filed: 05-23-90		
Period: 1989-1991	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Fred B. Werkenthin
Amount: \$1,616,497		Jackson & Walker Austin

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 21.07-6 is preempted by ERISA.

Status: One Plaintiff has submitted documentation supporting a refund. Case will be concluded in accordance with *NGS v. Barnes*, 998 F.2d 296 (5th Cir. 1993). Severance and final judgment entered for Metropolitan. Awaiting documentation for other Plaintiffs.

Old Republic Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301693
AG Case #031806029

Retaliatory Tax; Protest, Refund & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christine Monzingo
Filed: 05/23/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Ron K. Eudy
Period: 2002		Sneed, Vine & Perry
Amount: \$219,626.40		Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used “split” premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller’s interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller’s policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys’ fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment hearing set 05/18/04.

STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301053
AG Case #031808371

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest Filed: 06/11/03 Period: 2002 Amount: \$115,287.80	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff’s Counsel:	Gene Storie Howard P. Newton Matthews & Branscomb San Antonio
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in *Todd Shipyards* and *Dow Chemical*. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN001503
AG Case #001310820

Insurance Premium Tax; Protest Filed: 05/23/00 Period: 1995-1998 Amount: \$1,226,220.50	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff’s Counsel:	Natalie Foerster Jay A. Thompson Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons Austin Barry K. Bishop Clark, Thomas & Winters Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether daily negative bank account balances should be adjusted to \$0 to compute the proper percentage of Texas investments for gross premiums tax.

Status: Discovery in progress. Depositions to be determined. Cross-motion for summary judgment filed. MSJ hearing to be determined.

St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102788
AG Case #011490877

Insurance Premium Tax; Refund, Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/24/01 Period: 01/01/95- 12/31/98 Amount: \$163,021.27	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Michael W. Jones Kevin F. Lee Austin Richard S. Geiger Dallas Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an eligible surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance tax. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas Cause #97-05106
#03-98-00110-CV
AG Case #97-727302

Insurance Tax; Protest Filed: 04/29/97 Period: 1993 Amount: \$56,958	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Larry Parks Long, Burner, Parks & Sealey Austin
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether plaintiff should be given credit against tax due for examination fees paid to the state in connection with a market conduct examination report ordered by the Texas Department of Insurance. Plaintiff also asks for penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Cross-motions for summary judgment heard 11/12/97. Summary judgment granted for Plaintiff. State appealed. Case submitted without oral argument 07/06/98. Affirmed in part, reversed and remanded in part 03/11/99. State's motion for rehearing denied. Petition for review filed 06/01/99. Briefs on merits requested by Court. State's brief filed 10/18/99. Petition denied. Case remanded to trial court. To be consolidated with Cause #GN002605, *Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al.*

Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al. Cause #GN002605
AG Case #001348580

Insurance Premium Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Filed: 09/01/00	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Larry Parks
Period: 1993 1994		Long, Burner, Parks, McClellan & Delargy
Amount: \$87,288.51 \$426,620.38		Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff should be given credit against tax due for examination fees paid to the state in connection with a market conduct examination report ordered by the Texas Department of Insurance. Plaintiff also asks for penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Comptroller to make partial refund awarded in administrative hearing. Court issued a dismissal notice. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain. Discovery in progress. Jury trial set 10/25/04.

Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-12271
AG Case #99-1226739

Insurance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 10/20/99	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Raymond E. White
Period: 1993-1997 1993-1997		Daniel Micciche
Amount: \$416,462.73 \$214,893.74		Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld
		Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly included amounts not received by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's gross premiums tax base. Whether any maintenance tax is payable on Plaintiff's business of home warranty insurance. Whether the Comptroller is bound by the prior actions and determinations of the Texas Department of Insurance. Whether the assessments of tax violate due process and equal taxation. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 07/19/04.

Other Taxes

Alvarado ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303208
AG Case #031833056

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/31/03 Period: 2002 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood, Fine & Bonilla Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that involved creative financing and by misapplying burden of proof.

Status: Answer filed.

Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203255
AG Case #021670484

Inheritance Tax; Protest Filed: 09/09/02 Period: Amount: \$161,956	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson James F. Martens Christina A. Mondrik Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the IRS erred in increasing the value of the estate's assets and disallowing expenses and gifts.

Status: Answer filed.

Avery ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303141
AG Case #031833155

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/30/03 Period: 2002 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Susan Feller Heiligenthal Linebarger, Goggan, Blair & Sampson Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and due process, and exceeded the rule-making authority granted by the Legislature. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration regarding the validity of the Comptroller's rules and hearings process.

Status: Settlement negotiations in progress.

Bailiff, Michael W. and Sylvia S. Bailiff v. Bexar County Appraisal District, et al.

Cause #2002-CI-147689

AG Case #021691704

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Gene Storie
Filed: 10/10/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher J. Weber
Period: 2002		Christopher J. Weber,
Amount: \$		L.L.C.
		San Antonio

Issue: Plaintiff claims that defendants overvalued and unequally appraised his various properties in Bexar County. Plaintiff claims that Defendants failed to meet their burden of proof and also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed. Plaintiff will dismiss.

Barbers Hill ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303127

AG Case #031831688

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 07/28/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Robert Mott
Period: 2002		Joseph Longoria
Amount: \$		Sandra Griffin
		Karen Evertson
		Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,
		Collins & Mott
		Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Answer filed.

Bay City ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303229
AG Case #031835200

Property Tax;
Administrative Appeal
Filed: 08/01/03
Period: 2002
Amount: \$

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jana Kinkade

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Robert Mott
Joseph Longoria
Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,
Collins & Mott
Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Answer filed.

Broadus ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303142
AG Case #31833080

Property Tax;
Administrative Appeal
Filed: 07/30/03
Period: 2002
Amount: \$

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jana Kinkade

Plaintiff's Counsel:

James R. Evans
Susan Feller Heiligenthal
Linebarger, Goggan,
Blair, & Sampson
Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and due process, and exceeded the rule-making authority granted by the Legislature. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration regarding the validity of the Comptroller's rules and hearings process.

Status: Answer filed.

Caddo Mills ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303143
AG Case #031833114

Property Tax;
Administrative Appeal
Filed: 07/30/03
Period: 2002
Amount: \$

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Jana Kinkade

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Susan Feller Heiligenthal
Linebarger, Goggan, Blair
& Sampson
Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and due process, and exceeded the rule-making authority granted by the Legislature. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration regarding the validity of the Comptroller's rules and hearings process. Whether sale prices for residential property were not properly adjusted.

Status: Answer filed.

CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400433
AG Case #041921990

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 02/12/04 Period: Amount: \$0.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt Lara L. Reenan Henry Oddo Austin & Fletcher Dallas
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's tax collection and financing activities are legal under the Tax Code, Finance Code and Constitution.

Status: Answer filed.

Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller Cause #96-08010
AG Case #96-599817

Property Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 07/11/96 Period: 1994 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie Robert Mott Joseph Longoria Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott Houston
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Various issues concerning the validity of the Comptroller's property value study.

Status: Answer and Special Exception filed. Inactive. Settlement reached with Canyon ISD. Only La Porte ISD is now pending. LaPorte ISD has made a settlement offer. Inactive.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304320
AG Case #031880487

Natural Gas Production Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 11/05/03 Period: 07/01/88- 12/31/90 Amount: \$225,194.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Doug Sigel Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes gas production tax on "Order 94 Payments." Plaintiff also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Columbia-Brazoria ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303144
AG Case #031833106

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/30/03 Period: 2002 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Susan Feller Heiligenthal Linebarger, Goggan, Blair & Sampson Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and due process, and exceeded the rule-making authority granted by the Legislature. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration regarding the validity of the Comptroller's rules and hearings process. Whether sale prices for residential property were not properly adjusted.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp Cause #91-6309
AG Case #91-78237

Gas Production Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 05/06/91 Period: 01/01/87 - 12/31/87 Amount: \$3,054,480.60	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Alfred H. Ebert, Jr. Andrews & Kurth Houston
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Comptroller should have granted Plaintiff a hearing on penalty waiver and related issues.

Status: State's Plea in Abatement granted pending outcome of administrative hearing on audit liability. Negotiations pending.

Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200711
AG Case #021573480

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 03/04/02 Period: 03/01/99-06/30/99 Amount: \$36,177.36	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade John L. Gamboa Acuff, Gamboa & White Fort Worth
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used a non-representative sample to determine plaintiff's tax liability. Whether depletion and error rates were calculated correctly.

Status: Answer filed.

Huntsville ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303124
AG Case #031831696

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/28/03 Period: 2002 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Robert Mott Joseph Longoria Sandra Griffin Karen Evertson Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott Houston
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Answer filed.

Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203899
AG Case #021703913

Hotel Occupancy Tax; Protest, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 10/28/02 Period: 03/01/97- 11/30/00 12/01/00-03/31/02 Amount: \$193,629.45 \$59,232.72	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Scott Simmons Kirk R. Manning Stephen L. Phillips Julie K. Lane Cantey & Hanger Austin
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's service charges are subject to the hotel tax. Whether the charges are gratuities under the Comptroller's rule. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Discussions in progress with opposing counsel.

Lubbock-Cooper ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303125
AG Case #031831654

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/28/03 Period: 2002 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Robert Mott Joseph Longoria Sandra Griffin Karen Evertson Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott Houston
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Settlement approved.

MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002653
AG Case #001352632

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jim Cloudt
Filed: 09/07/00 Period: 01/01/96- 12/31/98 Amount: \$5,533,079.80	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to tax credit and refund as provided under the sales tax bad debt statute for motor vehicle taxes on installment sales where the purchaser defaulted. Whether the refusal to allow a refund violates equal taxation because there is no rational basis to treat installment sellers of vehicles differently than vehicle renters and other retailers.

Status: Trial set 12/15/04.

Marfa ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303146
AG Case #031833163

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 07/30/03 Period: 2002 Amount: \$	Plaintiff's Counsel:	James R. Evans Susan Feller Heiligenthal Linebarger, Goggan, Blair, & Sampson Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and due process, and exceeded the rule-making authority granted by the Legislature. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration regarding the validity of the Comptroller's rules and hearings process. Whether the Comptroller failed to properly use local modifiers and sampling techniques.

Status: Answer filed.

McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #GN104253

#03-03-00502-CV

AG Case #021547393

Protest Tax; Protest,
Injunction & Declaratory
Judgment
Filed:
Period:
Amount: \$1,173.83 &
\$3,690.00

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Gene Storie

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
David J. Sewell
Stahl, Martens & Bernal
Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller must accept a letter of credit as security for Plaintiff's participation in the cigarette tax trust fund.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing held 07/30/03. Pleas to the jurisdiction granted in part and Summary Judgment granted for the Comptroller. McLane filed notice of appeal 08/19/03. Appellants' brief filed 01/15/04. Appellees' brief due 03/09/04.

Mineral Wells ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303209

AG Case #031833031

Property Tax;
Administrative Appeal
Filed: 07/31/03
Period: 2002
Amount: \$

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Christopher Jackson

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Ray Bonilla
Ray, Wood, Fine &
Bonilla
Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that involved creative financing and by misapplying burden of proof.

Status: Answer filed.

Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al. Cause #92-16485
AG Case #92-190294

Alcoholic Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 12/03/92	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Mattox
Period:		Lowell Lasley
Amount: \$		Michael D. Mosher

Issue: Whether the TABC and Comptroller were allowed to use inventory depletions analysis to determine amount of gross receipts tax owed. Plaintiffs seek class certification.

Status: Answer filed. Inactive.

Petro Express Management, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204123
AG Case #021705918

Fuels Tax; Injunction and Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 11/14/02	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt
Period: 2002		C. Zan Turcotte
Amount: \$450,000		Law Offices of Perry L. "Wayne" Isgitt, P.C.
		Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's collection actions are arbitrary, contrary to statute, and unconstitutional. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and a return of seized property.

Status: Temporary Restraining Order denied. Inactive.

Presidio ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303152
AG Case #

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 07/30/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Ray Bonilla
Period: 2002		Ray, Wood & Bonilla
Amount: \$		Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Answer filed.

Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-11987
AG Case #91-133170

Motor Vehicle Tax; Protest Filed: 08/26/91 Period: 12/01/86 - 09/30/89 Amount: \$21,796	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jim Cloudt George L. Preston Paris
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether motor vehicle tax should fall on dealer/seller rather than the purchaser under §152.044. Related constitutional issues.

Status: Inactive.

Rahmes, Todd W., Individually and on Behalf of All Similarly Situated Consumers v. Louis Shanks of Texas, Inc., Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN201766
AG Case #031851256

MTA Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 08/29/03 Period: 05/30/00 Amount: \$101.86	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Gene Storie George Y. Nino The Nino Law Firm Houston Ray Bonilla Buck Wood Ray, Wood & Bonilla Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Plaintiff claims a refund and injunctive relief for the class of persons who overpaid local MTA tax. Plaintiff also claims DTPA and fraud violations against the retailer, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Pleas to jurisdiction heard 01/21/04. All Defendants' pleas granted 02/12/04.

Ranger Fuels & Maintenance , L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204124
AG Case #021705900

Fuels Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction Filed: 11/14/02 Period: Amount: \$115,000.00	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt C. Zan Turcotte Law Offices of Perry L. "Wayne" Isgitt, P.C. Houston
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether fuels tax is actually owed by an unrelated company. Whether the Comptroller abused its discretion and violated Plaintiff's constitutional rights. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief.

Status: Temporary Restraining Order denied. Inactive.

Robinson, Barbara Cooke, Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300338
AG Case #031758915

Declaratory Judgment Tax; Declaratory Judgment Filed: 02/03/03 Period: 1990 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Christopher Jackson Arne M. Ray Houston
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's lien should be nullified as expired or invalid on its face.

Status: Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment and Defendant's Plea to the Jurisdiction filed 02/13/04.

Shelton, James M., Estate of, Deceased, and Carroll A. Maxon, Independent Co-Executor v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN104094
AG Case #021542261

Inheritance Tax; Protest & Refund Filed: 12/14/01 Period: Amount: \$1,616,018	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade James F. Martens Jessica Scott Stahl, Martens & Bernal Austin
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the IRS and Comptroller failed to give proper credit against the estate value for a pending lawsuit and administrative expenses.

Status: Answer filed.

Stephenville ISD v. Comptroller Cause #271703
AG Case #031818958

Property Tax;	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Administrative Appeal		
Filed: 06/30/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Robert Mott
Period:		Joseph Longoria
Amount: \$		Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Settlement approved.

Stephenville ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GN302542
AG Case #031829542

Property Tax;	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Administrative Appeal		
Filed: 07/21/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Robert Mott
Period:		Joseph Longoria
Amount: \$		Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Settlement approved.

Tarkington ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303148
AG Case #031833098

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/30/03 Period: 2002 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Susan Feller Heiligenthal Linebarger, Goggan, Blair & Sampson Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and due process, and exceeded the rule-making authority granted by the Legislature. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration regarding the validity of the Comptroller's rules and hearings process. Whether sale prices for residential property were not properly adjusted.

Status: Answer filed.

Terlingua Common ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV302967
AG Case #031833064

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/17/03 Period: 2002 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood, Fine & Bonilla Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that involved creative financing and by misapplying burden of proof.

Status: Answer filed.

Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc. Cause #GN400440
AG Case #041925843

Gas Production Tax; Refund Filed: 02/13/04 Period: 01/01/97- 05/31/02 Amount: \$456,608.80	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Matthew J. Meese Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's initial refund claim, still pending administrative review at the time of filing a second claim, fell within the statute of limitations deadline.

Status: Answer filed.

Willow Creek Resources, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303805
AG Case #031859812

Gas Production Tax; Refund	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Blake Hawthorne
Filed: 09/23/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark W. Eidman
Period: 01/01/97- 12/31/99		Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$1,160,682.81		Doug J. Dashiell
		Scott, Douglass & McConnico
		Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff is entitled to a natural gas production tax refund on gas which plaintiff claims qualifies for the exemption for high cost gas under §201.057.

Status: Answer filed.

Closed Cases

6S-B, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304345

AG Case #031881436

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Christopher Jackson
Filed: 11/07/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Mark D. Hopkins
Period: 12/01/97- 08/31/99		Savrick, Schumann, Johnson & McGarr
Amount: \$84,562.70		Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on vending machine items for those items sold or severed by schools. Whether the sample audit was invalid. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plea to Abate filed. Motion to Dismiss filed; granted 02/17/04.

Comstock ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GN302662

AG Case #031831670

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal	Asst. AAG Assigned:	Jana Kinkade
Filed: 07/28/03	Plaintiff's Counsel:	Kirk Swinney
Period: 2002		Javier B. Gutierrez
Amount: \$		McCreary, Veselka, Bragg & Allen
		Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties and whether the Comptroller failed to consider local modifiers, sales and market information.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 12/05/03.

Forney ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303155
AG Case #031833049

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/30/03 Period: 2002 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade Ray Bonilla Ray, Wood, Fine & Bonilla Austin
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that involved creative financing and by misapplying burden of proof.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 12/04/03.

Lynch, Michael J. II, Assignee of Estrella Sola, Inc. v. Strayhorn Cause #2003755
AG Case #031771124

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Protest, Refund & Declaratory Judgment Filed: 02/26/03 Period: 1996-2002 Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Michael J. Lynch II Pro Se El Paso
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether separate classification of mixed beverage and wine and beer permit holders is unreasonable and in violation of equal taxation. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Non-suited 12/22/03.

Point Isabel ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303014
AG Case #031829617

Property Tax; Administrative Appeal Filed: 07/21/03 Period: Amount: \$	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Jana Kinkade C. Richard Fine Kevin O'Hanlon O'Hanlon & Associates Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller incorrectly estimated the market value of single family residences.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 12/04/03.

***Westcott Communications, Inc., Law Enforcement Television Network, Inc.,
Westcott ECI, Inc. and TI-IN Acquisition Corp. v. Sharp, et al.*** Cause #98-14049
#03-02-00351-CV
#03-0480
AG Case #99-1093113

Franchise Tax; Protest Filed: 12/17/98 Period: 01/01/92- 12/31/94 Amount: \$1,182,242.67	Asst. AAG Assigned: Plaintiff's Counsel:	Blake Hawthorne Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg Steve Wingard Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Issue: Whether apportionment of satellite service gross receipts to Texas violates the commerce, due process or equal protection clauses of the Constitution or the Tax Code and Comptroller rules apportioning receipts to the state where a service is performed. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: Court granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment 05/20/02. Notice of Appeal filed 06/11/02. Clerk's Record filed 07/11/02. Appellants' brief filed 08/23/02. Appellees' brief filed 09/23/02. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/08/02. Submitted on oral argument 11/13/02. Appellees' letter brief filed 11/21/02; post-submission brief filed 12/09/02. Third COA affirmed trial court's judgment 03/20/03. Appellants' Motion for Rehearing filed 04/11/03; Third COA overruled Westcott's Motion for Rehearing 04/24/03. Petition for Review filed in the Supreme Court 06/02/03. Response waived by State 06/17/03. Supreme Court requested a response to the petition; filed by Respondent 08/19/03. Case forwarded to higher court 10/02/03. Supreme Court requested briefs on the merits. Petitioners' brief filed 10/31/03. Respondents' brief filed 11/20/03. Petitioners' reply brief filed 12/22/03. Petition for Review denied 01/30/04.

Index

- Administrative hearing, 88
 - constitutional and statutory requirements, 84, 85, 86, 87, 90, 96
 - finality, 59
- Amusement tax
 - coin operated machines and non-coin operated games, 32, 33
 - Fitness & aerobic training services, 60
- Assessment
 - inconsistency with hearing decision, 17
- Audit
 - procedure, 72
- Business loss carryforward
 - merger, 8, 9
- Catalogs
 - nexus, 60
 - nexus, taxable use, 36, 61
 - printing, 47
 - use tax--printed out of state, 38, 50
- Cigarette Tax Trust Fund
 - security, 91
- Class Action
 - injunctive relief, 93
 - refund suit against vendor, 93
 - sales tax, 43
- Coin operated machines and non-coin operated games
 - amusement tax v. sales tax, 32, 33
- Construction contract
 - lump sum or separated contract, 19, 25
- Country Club fees
 - sales tax, 41
- Credit for Overpaid Tax
 - inventory or bankruptcy, 72, 73
- Data processing, 48
- Debt collection services, 48
- Depreciation
 - straight line or accelerated, 11
- Detrimental reliance, 20
- Direct Marketing
 - advertising materials, 70
- Direct Sales
 - Definition and application, 64
 - nexus, 14
 - refund of tax collected from independent contractor, 25
 - taxable use, sampling, 37
- Domestic Insured
 - constitutional limits on tax, 80
- Electricity
 - insurer exemption, 39
 - processing, 35, 62, 64, 65, 66, 71
- Estate Credits
 - claim value of pending lawsuit, 95
- Estate Values
 - taxable gifts, 83
- Factored Contracts
 - cash-basis accounting, 72
- Financing Lease
 - sample audit, 13
- Food Products
 - convenience store/deli, 60
 - mall vendor, 39
- Fraud Audit, 40
- Games
 - amusement tax v. sales tax, 32, 33
- Gross Premiums
 - defaulted auto policies, 75
 - paid-up additions, 79
 - renewal premiums, 79
 - split premium to agent, 78, 80
- Gross receipts
 - apportionment of satellite service receipts, 101
 - interstate telephone charges, 3, 9
 - inventory depletion, 92
 - out-of-state sales, 10
 - severance pay and merger expenses, 4
- Gross Taxable Sales
 - estimated audit, 49
 - Inadequate Records, 14
- Health Care Supplies
 - sales tax, 21
- High Cost Gas
 - limitations, 97
- Inaccurate Certification
 - sampling method, 83, 84, 85, 89, 91, 93, 95, 96, 100
- Independent contractors
 - maid service, 17
- Installment Sales
 - vehicle financing, 86
- Insurance services, 48
 - market value estimate, 82
 - out-of-state lab tests, 41
- Insurer Exemption
 - limitations, 70
- Interest Offset
 - refund to subsidiary, 67
- Intracorp. transportation
 - manufacturing exemption, 68
- Jeopardy Determination
 - business interference, 92

- Joint venture
 - Sales tax credits, 10
- Leased Property
 - gas generation system, 31
- Lien
 - community liability, 48
 - nullification, 94
- Limitations
 - administrative proceedings, 97
 - subsequent refund claim, 63
- Lump Sum Motor Vehicle Repairs
 - double taxation, 17, 37
 - estimates separated, 15
 - Software Services, 15
- Maid services
 - real property services, 17
- Maintenance
 - utility poles, 22
- Manufacturing exemption, 52, 53
 - alteration property, 27
 - intraplant transportation, 68
 - packaging, 27
 - pipe, 68
 - post-mix machines, 41
 - sale for resale, 27
- Mixed drinks
 - complimentary, sales tax, 42
 - unreasonable classification, 100
- Motor Vehicle Property
 - nexus, 58
- Motor Vehicle Seller
 - liability for tax, 93
- New construction
 - drilling rigs, 66
 - lump sum or separated contract, 25
 - original defects, 36
 - tax credits, 42
- Nexus
 - accounts receivable, 53
 - catalogs printed out of state, 36, 60
 - delivery and installation of goods, 43
 - out-of-state insurer, 77
 - promotional materials, 16, 24, 30, 31
 - regional salesman, 7
 - shipping from out of state, 49
- Occasional sales, 42
- Officer and director compensation
 - add-back to surplus, 1, 4
 - significant policy-making authority, 2, 3
- Oil well services, 52
- Open Courts
 - prepayment of tax, 53
- Packaging
 - sale for resale, 34
 - shipment out-of-state, 23, 32
- Parking lot
 - repairs, 42
- Penalty
 - waiver, 13, 88
- Pipe
 - manufacturing exemption, 68
- Pipeline Services
 - new construction or maintenance, 23
- Post Production Costs
 - order 94 payments, 87
- Predominant use
 - electricity, 36
- Premiums
 - home warranty insurance, 82
- Prepayment of tax
 - Open Courts, 53
- Prizes
 - amusement tax v. sales tax, 32, 33
 - cost of taxable, 71
- Promotional materials
 - nexus, 16, 23, 24, 30, 31
 - ownership of, 16, 24, 26, 29
- Proof
 - burden in administrative hearing, 36
- Push-down accounting
 - depreciation, 9
- Real Property Appraisal
 - burden of proof, 84
- Real Property Repair and Remodeling, 49
 - new construction, pollution control, 69
 - vs. maintenance, 22
- Real property service
 - maid service, 17
- Remodeling
 - ships, 62
- Repair
 - parking lot, 42
- Residential Property
 - market value estimate, 101
- Rule making
 - authority of Comptroller, 48
- S Corporation
 - exempt shareholder, 6
- Sale for resale
 - blanket resale certificates, 28
 - cable equipment, 67
 - data processing, 18
 - detrimental reliance, 22
 - double taxation, 38
 - federal contractor, 19, 20, 21, 29, 34, 40, 44, 45, 46, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 68
 - incidental lease, 28
- Sample audits
 - compliance with procedures, 31, 33
 - timely exemption certificates, 47
- Sampling technique
 - validity, 33, 35, 88

- Service Charges
 - gratuities, 89
- Successor liability, 51
 - business interference, 94
 - retroactive application, 18
- Surplus Lines Insurer
 - unauthorized insurance tax, 76, 77, 78, 81
- Taxable Surplus
 - natural gas company, 5
- Taxable Value
 - presumption, 86
- Telecommunication services
 - networking services, 63
 - satellite broadcasting, 21
- Telecommunications equipment
 - transfer of care, custody, and control of equipment, 50
- Temporary Workers
 - computer services, 43
- Texas investments, 75
 - bank balances, 80
 - mortgage pools, 76
- Third Party Administration
 - ERISA, 79
- Throwback Rule
 - P.L. 86-272, 5, 6
- Vehicle Storage
 - abandoned vehicle sales, 39
- Vending Machine Sales
 - school sales, 54, 99
- Waste Removal
 - real property services, 15
 - sale for resale, 69
- Write-off
 - investment in subsidiaries, 11